Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/600,175	RUDKO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ahmed M. Farah	3735
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Ahmed M. Farah</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kirk Teska (Reg. No. 36,291)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 July 2008	Time: <u>11:45 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claims 16-21		
Prior art documents discussed: Goldenberg et al. US Pat. No. 5,470,330; Mueller US Pat. No. 5,725,521; and Kittrell et al. US Pat. No. 5,693,043.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview 		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 16, and dependent claims 17-21, were discussed in view of the prior art of record listed above. In particular, claim 16 was discussed in view of Fig. 32 of Goldenberg ('330), Fig. 6A of Mueller ('521), and Figs. 13I, 14 and 18 of Kittrell ('043). The examiner further indicated that claims 16-21 are directed to a different embodiment of the invention shown in Fig. 13 of the instant application. Applicant's representative cancelled claims 16-21 in favor of continued/divisional application. He authorized the examiner to cancel the claims by Examiner's Amendment (EA). See the attached EA..